

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/748,382	12/30/2003	Edi Bondioli	71258	8156
23872	7590 03/30/2005		EXAMINER	
	E TUTTLE, PC OUGH STATION PLAZA	BINDA, GREGORY JOHN		
	JGH, NY 10510-0827		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	,

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/748,382	BONDIOLI, EDI				
` Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Greg Binda	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a restation. 8 ss, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	oply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ This action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the appliance of the above claim(s) is/are solutions. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the short of	2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan e correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority do 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/29, 9/30/2004. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/29, 9/30/2004. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/29, 9/30/2004.						

Application/Control Number: 10/748,382 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

Drawings

1. Figures 1 & 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

2. The drawings are objected to because the "transverse plane" recited in claim 1, line 3 is not indicated in the drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.77(c) for failing to include section headings.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,382 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

4. The disclosure is objected to because page 3, line 25 includes "ff". What "ff" is supposed to mean is unknown.

Claim Objections

5. The claims are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.75(i) because elements of the claims are not separated by line indentation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Claim 1, lines 4 & 5 recites the nonsensically, "on the ends of two forks," comprising a divider".
 - b. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the wall of the corresponding one of the two parts" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3679

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Koyari et al, US 5,419,740. Figs. 1 & 2 show a wide angle constant velocity joint 40 comprising two forks 46, 48 forming the input an output members of the joint, two spiders 50, and a central core 42, 44 forming a central cavity for sliding movement in a transverse plane 98 for means forming constraint seats 94 for spherical heads 46B, 48B on the ends of the two forks. Figs. 19 & 20 show the joint further comprises a divider 176 which divides the cavity into two housings for two substantially symmetrical constraint members 54A, 54B forming the respective seats for the spherical heads 46B, 48B of the forks, the two constraint members being connected together and each of them sliding between the divider 176 and the wall (see col. 12, line 24) of the corresponding one of the two parts of the central core. Fig. 19 shows the two constraint members 54A, 54B are joined together by two central protrusions (see for example the protrusion 144).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (703) 305-2869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,382 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Greg Binda Primary Examiner Art Unit 3679